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Abstract. The Spatial Information Services Stack Vocabulary Service (SISSVoc) is a Linked Data API for accessing published vocabularies. SISSVoc provides a RESTful interface via a set of URI patterns that are aligned with SKOS. These provide a standard web interface for any vocabulary which uses SKOS classes and properties. The SISSVoc implementation provides web pages for human users, and machine-readable resources for client applications (in RDF, JSON, and XML). SISSVoc is implemented using a Linked Data API façade over a SPARQL endpoint. This approach streamlines the configuration of content negotiation, styling, query construction and dispatching. SISSVoc is being used in a number of projects, mainly in the environmental sciences, where controlled vocabularies are used to support cross-domain and interdisciplinary interoperability. SISSVoc simplifies access to vocabularies for end users, and provides a web API to support vocabulary applications.
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1. Introduction

Controlled vocabularies are a key element of many classification systems. They are typically published by specific organisations, domains, or communities of practice. The web has encouraged and enabled consolidation of vocabulary use, such that common vocabularies are now more likely to be maintained and published at a community level than only within an agency or project team, thus improving interoperability of scientific datasets. Examples include chemical entities [13,21], bio-medical terminology [38,49], environmental science topic or subject headings [15,27,31] and geological classifications (see compilation at [30]). Vocabularies such as EuroVoc [33] and the International Chronostratigraphic Chart [6] have well-defined governance and authority, i.e. the Publications Office of the European Union, and the International Commission for Stratigraphy, respectively.

While many vocabularies are openly available on the web, they are formalized and published in a variety of generally incompatible ways, including databases and spreadsheets, text documents, page and image formats. Some of the most fundamental vocabularies are made available on the web by their official custodian only as browser pages or PDFs for download (e.g SI units of measure1, geologic timescale2).

The emergence of Semantic Web technologies has provided some powerful tools for formalizing definitions, vocabularies, and ontologies, in forms that also support reasoning and inferencing. In this context, the Simple Knowledge Organization System (SKOS) [1,26] was designed to allow easy formalization of existing multilingual vocabularies that have flat or hierarchical structures, to smooth the transition towards the richer logic-based tools from ontology modelling.

SKOS provides a standard vocabulary for representing thesauri, classifications, taxonomies and controlled vocabularies, using RDF. SKOS has a simple model with few key constructs, focusing on labeling

---

1 http://www.bipm.org/en/si/base_units/
2 http://www.bipm.org/en/si/si_brochure/
3 http://stratigraphy.org/index.php/ics-chart-timescale
and basic hierarchies. While it lacks the expressivity and rigour of languages such as OWL, its simplicity allows a broad range of vocabularies and classifiers to be ported from a diverse set of formats to RDF, promoting ease of sharing and cross-linking between vocabularies. Many existing vocabularies have been ported to SKOS [25] including large vocabularies such as AGROVOC [34] and the Library of Congress Subject Headers (LCSH) [44]. SKOS is now one of the most commonly used vocabularies for structured data on the web [25].

Vocabularies are likely to be adopted and shared if they are made available easily. Nevertheless, despite successes in the use of SKOS for encoding vocabularies, current standards provide only low-level interfaces to vocabulary data. For example, many vocabularies are published as an RDF document for download. However, if the vocabulary is large then the download will be commensurately large, and if the user only wants to retrieve a single vocabulary term or select a few terms, this option requires processing on the client side. Alternatively, access to vocabularies is often provided at a SPARQL endpoint. SPARQL [20,32] is the generic RDF query language. While this is powerful, it is a low-level language similar to the relational database query language SQL and normally is only used by database administrators. Some SKOS vocabularies are published via other HTTP interfaces. However, each implementation uses different protocols and supports a varied set of features e.g. content-negotiation provided by the GEMET [16] REST interface, and NERC Data Grid’s Vocabulary Server [23,29] SOAP interface. In some cases, one or both of human-readable formats and machine-readable formats is not available. Thus, discovery and access across vocabulary endpoints becomes challenging and ad-hoc.

There is a clear opportunity here, to design an API to match the SKOS vocabulary, taking advantage of the fact that much modern vocabulary content is structured using SKOS classes and predicates. This API can then be used as the basis for various higher level vocabulary applications.

Linked Data has been proposed as a means of publishing and interlinking structured data on the web. Linked Data proposes the use of RDF for describing structured data and allows relationships and links between resources to be defined [4]. This allows both human-readable and machine-readable content/interaction to access data resources and their descriptive metadata using existing web technologies simply by dereferencing HTTP URIs. A number of SKOS vocabulary services are available that utilise Linked Data approaches, such as Semantic Technologies for Archaeological Resources (STAR) Project’s semantic terminology services [3], Library of Congress Authorities and Vocabularies service [4], and the Coastal and Marine Spatial Planning Vocabularies (CMSPV) SKOS API [45]. However, each service has a different interface to access the content. Technologies such as Pubby [10], D2R server [3] and Epimorphics Linked Data API Implementation (ELDA) [17] are available for publishing RDF resources as Linked Data, but there is no standard pattern for access to SKOS vocabulary resources. The fundamental issue is that RESTful approaches rely only on URIs, HTTP, and content-types [18,37], yet SKOS is not recognised as a ‘content-type’ in this context.

In this paper, we describe a standard interface called SISSVoc through which SKOS vocabularies can be provided to web users. SISSVoc provides a level of abstraction for the end users corresponding to the SKOS content model, supporting access to vocabularies without specific knowledge of the underlying technologies and semantic web languages used, such as SPARQL endpoints and queries, SKOS and RDF. A human interface in the form of web pages and forms is provided when HTML is requested. SISSVoc also allows for machine-to-machine use, so that data providers can use HTTP links to vocabularies, data applications can be configured with standard terminology, and data clients can retrieve definitions or verify the existence of items claimed to be in particular vocabularies.

SISSVoc is a key component of the Spatial Information Services Stack (SISS) developed by CSIRO through the AuScope project [47]. SISSVoc v1 and v3 have been briefly introduced previously [8,19]. In this paper, we present the SISSVoc v3 design in detail and describe the current implementation. We point to its use in some environmental domains, and some client applications built on SISSVoc. We evaluate SISSVoc in terms of the URI design, and compare it with some other products with similar scope. 

3 http://hypermedia.research.southwales.ac.uk/resources/terminology/
4 http://id.loc.gov/search/
5 http://tw.rpi.edu/web/project/CMSPV/KeyConcepts
2. Different vocabulary interfaces for different users

Using standard semantic web technologies, a vocabulary can be usefully published through at least four distinct interfaces:

1. The complete vocabulary formalized in RDF, formatted using one of the standard RDF serializations (RDF/XML, Turtle) and bundled as a single document (file), delivered from the "Ontology URI". This is for users and services who wish to harvest the whole vocabulary in one transaction, for local processing. For example http://sissvoc.ereefs.info/vocab/ereefs/wq

2. At a SPARQL endpoint, for access to subsets and views of the vocabulary through the standard RDF query language. This is for expert users, and to support applications that require a highly capable, though low-level interface: e.g. http://sissvoc.ereefs.info/ereefs/sparql

3. A vocabulary service supporting queries on the standard properties of vocabulary items, with options for what is included and the result format. This provides for general users who want to explore a vocabulary without having to know RDF or SPARQL, and to provide an API that insulates developers from SPARQL or from having to load a complete vocabulary: e.g.
   - query for all concepts in a vocabulary: http://sissvoc.ereefs.info/sissvoc/ereefs/concept
   - query for concepts broader than those in the vocabulary with the label "nitrogen": http://sissvoc.ereefs.info/sissvoc/ereefs/concept/broader?anylabel=nitrogen

4. For each item in the vocabulary, its URI should resolve to a description of the item. This is suitable for direct reference to vocabulary items, and in-line links within datasets. For example, an item in a vocabulary of chemical substances and taxa published on behalf of the Australian government: is denoted http://environment.data.gov.au/def/object/nitrogen

There are accepted standards for interface levels 1, 2 and 4, based on generic web or semantic-web technologies (HTTP/URI, RDF, SKOS, SPARQL). SISSVoc has been developed to address the gap at interface level 3. It has a HTTP-based interface, following RESTful web services [37] and Linked Data [2,4] principles. Standard operations are thus defined as a set of URI patterns. The patterns use the SKOS vocabulary, so as to facilitate discovery and access to resources formalized using SKOS.

3. Design and implementation

In this section we first provide a detailed description of the SISSVoc API, then summarize an implementation of SISSVoc based on configuration of a Linked Data API implementation, and a typical deployment based on configuration of the interface layers described in section 2. Finally we provide links to example deployments for evaluation.

3.1. SISSVoc API

SISSVoc provides access to resource descriptions using the following general URI pattern:

http://{server}/{vocabulary}/{type}[/{relation}] [{?selection-parameters}&{view-parameters}]

Tables 1-5 show the details of the various parameters in this pattern, and the corresponding SPARQL queries. In Tables 2-5 the corresponding SELECT query is shown. This is expected to be followed by a DESCRIBE query on the selected items, so the resulting graph contains descriptions of the resources with at least their known outgoing properties, depending on the specific implementation of the DESCRIBE query.

A key SISSVoc pattern is the resource description pattern (Table 1), in which the description of a SKOS or non-SKOS resource, whose URI is known, is obtained using

http://{server}/{vocabulary}/resource
?uri={resourceURI}

i.e. type="resource", selection-parameters="uri={resourceURI}".

Note that in this pattern the resourceURI for a vocabulary item does not necessarily include the SISSVoc server URI.

A basic set of SISSVoc URI patterns provides interfaces to query lists of resources of the SKOS classes. Table 2 lists URI Patterns for selecting the set of SKOS ConceptScheme, Collection and Concept respectively.
Another set of SISSVoc URI Patterns provide filtering and selection operations to specific SKOS Concepts. Table 3 lists URI patterns for obtaining a list of concepts based on partial or exact matches on text in labels (rdfs:label, skos:prefLabel, skos:altLabel) for a given vocabulary. Text matching is across all SKOS labels as well as rdfs:label, and matching is language neutral. We have not yet included language-specific query, though that should be straightforward.

The final set of SISSVoc URI Patterns provides interfaces to allow access to a list of concepts that are related to a selected concept through the predicates defined in SKOS for structuring vocabularies, i.e. the /broader and /narrower properties. Table 4 lists URI patterns for /broader, /broaderTransitive, /narrower, /narrowerTransitive related to a specific SKOS Concept, denoted by its URI. Table 5 lists URI patterns for obtaining a list of concepts that are /broader, /broaderTransitive, /narrower, /narrowerTransitive than SKOS Concepts discovered by the text searches.

The exact behavior of a SISSVoc instance depends on the content and behavior of the SPARQL endpoint. For example, broaderTransitive/narrowerTransitive queries require that the vocabulary is processed using SKOS inference rules to completion. On the other hand, pattern 3 explicitly includes OrderedCollection, even though it is formally a subclass of Collection. The maintainer of any particular vocabulary content must consider the likely query modes when preparing content.

The SISSVoc API is currently limited to a subset of the SKOS vocabulary and predicates, as required to satisfy specific applications that had emerged in a set of environmental science applications. Table 6 summarizes which elements of the SKOS vocabulary are reflected in the current version of SISSVoc. Nevertheless, a comprehensive SKOS API could easily be developed following the general URI pattern also applied to the other elements of the SKOS vocabulary. And while SKOS data is the application considered here, in principle the general pattern is also extensible to other RDF applications.

SISSVoc is currently specified for HTTP GET operations only.

### 3.2. SISSVoc implementation

SISSVoc 3.0 was conceived as a Linked Data façade over a vocabulary exposed at a SPARQL endpoint. Use of the Linked Data API [36] streamlines the configuration of content negotiation, styling, query construction and dispatching, and also provides some standard result handling, including paging and language selection.

We have implemented SISSVoc using ELDA [17], an open source implementation of the Linked Data API [36]. An ELDA configuration is bound to a single RDF triple store (shown in Figure 1). Each URI pattern (or “HTTP endpoint”) is a few lines in the configuration file, including the corresponding SPARQL query pattern. SISSVoc presents vocabulary content as human-readable resources (HTML), and as machine-readable resources (RDF, JSON, and XML) for client applications, controlled by HTTP content negotiation or by Linked Data API arguments.

Since the SPARQL endpoint is independent of the SISSVoc deployment, it is not necessary for them to be co-located. This separates concerns with regards to vocabulary maintenance and persistence, and the discovery and access interface. SISSVoc can thus be deployed to provide an interface to any SKOS vocabulary that is published at a SPARQL endpoint. Figure 2 shows an example where a SISSVoc deployed at CSIRO provides a standard interface to the NERC Vocabulary Server [23,29] using its SPARQL interface.

---

6 The CSIRO implementation is documented at [https://www.seegrid.csiro.au/wiki/Siss/SISSvoc3Overview](https://www.seegrid.csiro.au/wiki/Siss/SISSvoc3Overview). Also see [https://github.com/vucsiro/sissvoc-runner](https://github.com/vucsiro/sissvoc-runner) for a tool to install locally for testing ELDA configurations. Packaging for deployment to a virtual machine is in preparation.


8 [http://vocab.nerc.ac.uk/sparql/](http://vocab.nerc.ac.uk/sparql/)
3.3. SISSVoc deployment

In section 2 we described different vocabulary interfaces suitable for different applications or classes of user. These interfaces are illustrated with specific examples from a single actual vocabulary. While the different interfaces are all publicly available and may be used separately, in the context of a specific vocabulary deployment each interface can, and probably should, use the next layer up in either configuration, or real-time operation. Figure 3 shows how this works in practice in configuration of a typical SISSVoc instance. Resolving the URI for the item in the vocabulary (interface 4 from section 2) involves a call to the SISSVoc API (interface 3), which issues a SPARQL query (interface 2), to a triple store which was loaded from the vocabulary document (interface 1). The server for vocabulary item URIs is configured to redirect requests to the resource-description URI hosted by this SISSVoc.

Fig. 3. A typical SISSVoc Deployment complemented by PID service and a web service hosting RDF documents. Each box represents one of the 4 different interfaces to support the various vocabulary access use cases. An additional component (labeled PID Service9) redirects vocabulary URIs to the SISSVoc.

---

### Table 1
SISSVoc URI Pattern for Resource description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>URI pattern</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>SPARQL</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Table 2
SISSVoc URI Patterns for SKOS Concept, ConceptScheme and Collection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>URI pattern</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>SPARQL</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>/conceptscheme</td>
<td>List of all concept schemes</td>
<td>SELECT ?item WHERE { ?item a skos:ConceptScheme }</td>
<td><a href="http://sissvoc.ereefs.info/sissvoc/ereefs/conceptscheme">http://sissvoc.ereefs.info/sissvoc/ereefs/conceptscheme</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>/concept</td>
<td>List of all concepts</td>
<td>SELECT ?item WHERE { ?item a skos:Concept }</td>
<td><a href="http://sissvoc.ereefs.info/sissvoc/ereefs/concept">http://sissvoc.ereefs.info/sissvoc/ereefs/concept</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3
SISSVoc URI Patterns for SKOS Concept discovery by label

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>URI pattern</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>SPARQL</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>URI pattern</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>SPARQL</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>URI pattern</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>SPARQL</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>that matches text</td>
<td>[ ?label = skos:prefLabel</td>
<td>?label = skos:altLabel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>that matches text</td>
<td>[ ?label = skos:prefLabel</td>
<td>?label = skos:altLabel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>that matches text</td>
<td>[ ?label = skos:prefLabel }</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
List of concepts narrowerTransitive than a concept with a label that matches text

```sql
SELECT ?item WHERE {
  ?item a skos:Concept .
  ?i0 skos:narrowerTransitive ?item .
  ! ?i0 ?label ?l .
  FILTER ( ?label = rdfs:label
           || ?label = skos:prefLabel
           || ?label = skos:altLabel
           || ?label = skos:hiddenLabel )
  FILTER regex( str(?l) , {text} , 'i' )
}
```


Table 6
Summary of coverage of SKOS vocabulary by current SISSVoc implementations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SKOS Meta-model</th>
<th>SKOS class/property</th>
<th>SISSVoc v3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class</td>
<td>Concept, ConceptScheme, Collection</td>
<td>Yes&lt;sup&gt;10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OrderedCollection</td>
<td>Included in “Collection”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property (Labels)</td>
<td>prefLabel, altLabel, hiddenLabel</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property (Semantic)</td>
<td>semanticRelation, related</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>broader, narrower</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>narrowerTransitive, narrowerTransitive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property (ConceptScheme)</td>
<td>inScheme, topConceptOf, hasTopConcept</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property (Collection)</td>
<td>member, memberList</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property (notes)</td>
<td>note, changeNote, editorialNote, historyNote, scopeNote</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>definition, example</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>10</sup> With outgoing properties for selected resources
### Table 7
Examples of SISSVoc deployments and their service endpoints

http://neivocab.bom.gov.au/api/wdtf1.0.2/concept |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OGC Definitions</td>
<td><a href="http://www.opengis.net/def/">http://www.opengis.net/def/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geological Timescale</td>
<td><a href="http://resource.geoscimi.org/classifier/ics/ischart/">http://resource.geoscimi.org/classifier/ics/ischart/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| NERC Vocabularies (no URI redirection, so links return to the NVS) | http://vocab.nerc.ac.uk/ via                 
http://auscope-services-test.arrc.csiro.au/elda-demo/nerc/collection |
| ANZSRC Socio-Economic Objective               | http://researchdata.ands.org.au:8080/vocab/api/anzsrc-seo/concepts |
| Water and energy supply and consumption (WESC) definitions | http://wescml.org/sissvoc/vocab/collection |

### 3.4. Deployments and uptake scenarios

SISSVoc is being used in a number of projects, mainly in the earth and environmental sciences, where controlled vocabularies are used to support cross-domain and interdisciplinary interoperability. Scenarios for uptake of SISSVoc for publication of controlled vocabularies include:

- Vocabularies defined by authorities and central organisations (e.g. Australian Bureau of Meteorology’s Soil Classifications and Water Data Transfer Format vocabularies, OGC definitions, Geosciences Australia Borehole vocabulary service)
- Vocabularies developed by communities (e.g. ANZSoilML Soil vocabularies, environment.data.gov.au)
- Vocabularies published on behalf of communities (e.g. Geological timescale, NERC, ANZSRC Socio-Economic Objective Vocabulary Service)
- Vocabularies for project work (e.g. Water and energy supply and consumption definitions, Spatial Identifier Reference Framework (SIRF) Gazeteer Feature codes)

A number of examples of SISSVoc uptake and deployment endpoints are listed in Table 7.

### 4. SISSVoc applications

SISSVoc presents a simple interface to any controlled vocabulary that is structured using, or at least decorated with, SKOS classes and properties. A standard vocabulary interface allows listboxes and other User Interface widgets to be populated via HTTP requests to standard vocabularies. It also makes possible the development of common applications such as search clients and validation clients. Here we describe two applications developed by the authors.

#### 4.1. Water Data Transfer Format validation service

The Water Data Transfer Format (WDTF) is an XML-based exchange standard that was developed for transfer and ingestion of data into the Australian Bureau of Meteorology’s information systems from over 200 data providers. A WDTF validation service was implemented using two standard schema languages together with a vocabulary service to check both structure and content (Figure 4) [48].
Data structure is validated using a W3C XML schema validation component. Schematron [22] is used to perform co-constraint checking and vocabulary checking. Vocabulary checking includes API calls to a SISSVoc service presenting WDTF SKOS vocabularies.

The listing in Figure 5 shows the XPath function used in the WDTF Validation service to perform vocabulary checking for valid water parameters. The function `checkParameterExists` calls the function `getConceptByIdentifier` which uses the SISSVoc resource API to retrieve the resource description for the URI. The function then checks that it has a skos:broader Concept that matches a specified URI which means that the value is in the correct hierarchy (http://www.bom.gov.au/std/water/xml/wio0.2/property/wdtf-parameters/Parameter). The function `checkParameterExists` is used in a Schematron rule that checks wdtf:TimeSeriesObservation elements, specifically, to verify that the observedProperty is a valid WDTF water parameter.

```xml
  <xsl:param name="parameterUri" as="xs:string"/>
  <xsl:variable name="doc" select="wdtffunc:getConceptByIdentifier($parameterUri)"/>
  <xsl:variable name="skosBroaderValue" select="$doc//skos:broader"/>
  <xsl:variable name="expectedUri" select="string('http://www.bom.gov.au/std/water/xml/wio0.2/property/wdtf-parameters/Parameter')"/>
  <xsl:choose>
    <xsl:when test="$skosBroaderValue[rdf:resource = $expectedUri]"> <xsl:value-of select="true()"/> </xsl:when>
    <xsl:otherwise> <xsl:value-of select="false()"/> </xsl:otherwise>
  </xsl:choose>
</xsl:function>

<xsl:function name="wdtffunc:getConceptByIdentifier">
  <xsl:param name="identifier" as="xs:string"/>
  <xsl:variable name="queryUrl" select="concat('SISSVOC_ENDPOINT/resources?uri=',$identifier)"/>
  <xsl:variable name="doc" select="document($queryUrl)"/>
  <xsl:copy-of select="$doc"/>
</xsl:function>

<sch:rule context="wdtf:TimeSeriesObservation/om:observedProperty[string-length(normalize-space(@xlink:href)) > 0]">
  <sch:let name="id" value="normalize-space(.)"/>
  <sch:let name="propertyName" value="wdtffunc:getParamValueFromUri($id)"/>
  <sch:let name="location" value="wdtffunc:getLocationMessage(.)"/>
  <sch:let name="isException" value="wdtffunc:isUriException($id)"/>
  <sch:let name="vocabLookup" value="wdtffunc:checkParameterExists($id) or wdtffunc:checkSurveyTypeExists($id)"/>
  <sch:assert test="$isException or $vocabLookup" flag="error">
    Parameter ' <sch:value-of select="$propertyName"/>' is not valid at <sch:value-of select="$location"/>.
  </sch:assert>
</sch:rule>
```

Fig. 5. XPath functions and a Schematron rule used to support a validation which uses the SISSVoc API

http://www.schematron.com/
4.2. SISSVoc Search

SISSVoc Search is built on the SISSVoc API to provide a simple search for vocabulary entries, using terms in the vocabulary labels and descriptions. It includes:

- a web-based search interface to support search via HTML form interface ([http://sissvoc.ereefs.info/search](http://sissvoc.ereefs.info/search)),
- HTTP GET requests, with the term and SISSVoc endpoint in the query string of the URI (e.g. [http://sissvoc.ereefs.info/search?q=water&endpoint=http://wescml.org/sissvoc/vocab](http://sissvoc.ereefs.info/search?q=water&endpoint=http://wescml.org/sissvoc/vocab)).

The user interface allows a user to switch between endpoints, so users may search for vocabulary terms knowing only the SISSVoc deployment URL. Figure 6 shows a screenshot of the user interface. This application has focused on the search use case, though there are some obvious complementary features that could be considered to enhance the user experience of the tool, such as faceted browsing to support discovery of vocabulary terms.

![SISSVoc Search](image.jpg)

**Fig. 6. Screenshot of the SISSVoc Search tool**
5. Analysis of SISSVoc design

In this section we provide an analysis of some aspects of the SISSVoc v3 design, focusing on two concerns:
- The URI patterns that make up the SISSVoc API, and their conformance to some REST considerations;
- A comparison of the SISSVoc API with similar products.

5.1. URI pattern for resource descriptions

The URI pattern for resource descriptions is
http://{server}/{vocabulary}/resource
?uri={resourceURI}

This should be read as
what http://{server}://{vocabulary} knows about
the resource denoted {resourceURI}.

The pattern is a very explicit implementation of the principles defined in ‘Cool URIs for the semantic web’ [39], making a clear distinction between the information resources and non-information resources involved. In this case
- a ‘concept’, denoted by {resourceURI}, is understood as an abstract (non-information) resource, which may have various definitions and representations;
- the RDF graph, denoted by the complete resource description pattern, is a corresponding information resource.

A number of URI patterns have been proposed that distinguish between resources and their descriptions [5,12,39,42]. These typically combine special tokens in the URI with HTTP parameters and response codes to indicate to the user how to understand the resource. Two patterns are directly comparable with the SISSVoc resource description pattern, in that they have distinct but related URIs for the non-information resource or concept, and for a description of it:
The Cool URIs for the Semantic Web pattern [12,39,42]:
- http://example.org/id/{id} denotes a non-information resource
- http://example.org/doc/{id} a corresponding description or information resource

In DBPedia [5]:
- http://dbpedia.org/resource/{id} denotes a concept, a non-information resource
- http://dbpedia.org/data/{id} an rdf graph describing the concept, an information resource
- http://dbpedia.org/page/{id} an html page describing the concept, an information resource

The SISSVoc pattern:
- http://example.net/{name} denotes a resource (optionally a non-information resource)
- http://example.org/sissvoc/resource?uri=http://example.net/{name} a description or information resource (format selected through content-negotiation)

The resource description URI pattern does not use the SKOS vocabulary. The properties included in a resource description are those provided by the SPARQL endpoint through its implementation of the DESCRIBE operation, which is typically an approximation of the Concise Bounded Description [43]. Thus, while the list endpoints described in Tables 2-5 use SKOS predicates, the representations return may describe a concept within which the SKOS elements are a minor aspect or ‘decoration’ of a more specific ontology. The SISSVoc SKOS API is a ‘generic’ vocabulary access point, and the response may be supplemented by more specific interfaces relevant to a specialized vocabulary. For example, an RDF representation of the 2014 version of the geologic timescale is identified as
http://resource.geosciml.org/classifier/ics/ischart/2014

and is delivered by a SISSVoc service in the form of a graph that mixes SKOS predicates with predicates from an ontology designed for the geological timescale [9]. The non-SKOS elements can be accessed through the underlying SPARQL endpoint, but are out of scope for the SISSVoc interface. Note that this vocabulary also links to a number of external sources (including DBpedia [24] and SWEET [35]), but the external content is not used in the SISSVoc interface, which relies on a single SPARQL endpoint.

5.2. URI patterns for lists

The URI pattern for requesting resources of a particular type is
http://{server}/{vocabulary}/{type}
[?{selection-parameters}[&{view-parameters}]]
SISSVoc follows the Linked Data API [36] in using the singular form of the resource type in the URI (i.e. concept, conceptscheme, collection), although the result will sometimes be a list.

5.3. URI patterns for queries

Earlier versions of SISSVoc[12] took the traditional approach of appending a query-string to a single service URI. In SISSVoc 3.0 each query pattern is a distinct HTTP endpoint. Tables 4 and 5 focus on relationships between SKOS concepts, so all patterns are based on

http://{server}/{vocabulary}/concept/{relation}?{selection-parameters}

From a REST point of view, this is oriented around queries, rather than the concepts themselves, though in part this is a necessary consequence of the design goal of separating the service location from concept URIs. As noted above (section Error! Reference source not found.) concept URIs may be redirected to a SISSVoc service if the concept owner endorses a particular service to provide descriptions, but this is strictly a separate concern to SISSVoc which provides a query function. Nevertheless, other redirections could support a REST interface oriented around the concept URIs. For example, a URI redirection pattern

{conceptURI}/{relation} → http://{server}/{vocabulary}/concept/{relation}?uri={conceptURI}

could access concepts related to a primary concept through the {relation} predicate.

SISSVoc development is ongoing in support of a number of environmental science projects, through which this and other refinements of the API are being explored for future versions.

5.4. REST behavior and vocabulary maintenance

The SISSVoc API is currently only specified for HTTP GET operations. It is not a full API, as it does not support HTTP operations for insertion, update and deletion [18,37]. SISSVoc is a lightweight search and retrieval SKOS API. An extensive search did not reveal any similar products which included a full REST API.

Managing vocabulary content is a challenging task, for which the technical aspect involves encoding not only the basic concept description, but also all the relationships within and between vocabularies. Maintaining the integrity of these in the face of fine-grained update operations is a significant task. RDF editors (such as Protégé[13] or TopBraid Composer[14]), ensure that the consistency of relationships between resources is maintained, and support generation of RDF documents to transfer a set of vocabulary content from the maintenance to publication environment, as outlined above. For complete web-hosted vocabulary maintenance, as well as publication (commercial) tools like TopQuadrant’s Enterprise Vocabulary Net[15], and the PoolParty Thesaurus Server[16] are available.

5.5. Related work

A number of other vocabulary APIs have been described, either in the context of a single vocabulary or as a general purpose re-usable API aligned with SKOS. In this section, we compare the capabilities of APIs based on similar premises and scope to SISSVoc. Candidates should explicitly leverage the SKOS model, and be a reusable API, so we excluded tools that focus on content management (e.g. TopQuadrant EVN), or are a service with an API that hosts a specific vocabulary (e.g. GEMET API[16]). A summary comparison matrix is provided in Table 8.

**SKOSAPI** [7] is a Java-based API for SKOS vocabularies. It specializes the OWL API libraries, thus providing logics-based reasoning to applications built on SKOSAPI. Vocabularies can be loaded via HTTP, but interaction with vocabularies is via programmatic interfaces, and SKOSAPI does not provide any web-based APIs for access. In comparison, while SISSVoc does not explicitly feature logics-based reasoning in the API, this functionality could be included in a SISSVoc deployment by building on triple store implementations which support reasoning (e.g. OWLIM).

**ASKOSI** [14] is a framework with coupled SKOS API to vocabularies loaded into a localized datastore

---
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(either via files or triple store endpoint). This is primarily to support human-readable web-based views on the loaded vocabularies, and supports machine-readable views in RDF/XML and in customized XML. In comparison, SISSVoc provides a broader set of machine-readable views which includes JSON-LD, and RDF in Turtle notation (provided by ELDA). SISSVoc provides a layer of abstraction over a specified SPARQL endpoint, and is decoupled from the datastore. However, ASKOSI provides a broader set of SKOS relationship APIs, also covering the inter-vocabulary mapping predicates (broadMatch, exactMatch, etc).

Skosprovider [11] is a Python-based API for creating, loading and interfacing with SKOS vocabularies. A limitation of Skosprovider is that it only allows a mono-hierarchy view of the SKOS Concept model. Poolparty [40,41] is a commercial thesaurus maintenance and publishing environment. The focus is on interactive content management, and the complete suite provides a range of capabilities, including wiki functionality for community discussion of a thesaurus, some tools for automating creation of a new thesaurus from existing non-SKOS sources, and integration with external semantic-web resources like DBpedia. The REST API for accessing SKOS content is similar to SISSVoc. For example, the Poolparty URI pattern for ‘concepts broader than {conceptURI}’ is

http://{server}/api/thesaurus/{project}/broaders?concept={conceptURI}

which may be compared with the SISSVoc pattern shown in Table 4. A HTTP POST endpoint is specified to support a ‘suggestNewConcept’ function, though somewhat surprisingly the submission payload is JSON. Otherwise, update and other maintenance is realized through a SPARQL Update endpoint.

SKOSMOS [28] is the closest solution to SISSVoc of the products tabulated, as it is an open-source, REST-based SKOS access API. The capabilities of SISSVoc and SKOSMOS are similar, and implemented using almost identical URI patterns: for example, compare the SKOSMOS pattern for ‘concepts broader than {conceptURI}’:

http://{server}/rest/v1/{vocabulary}/broader?uri={conceptURI}&lang=en

with SISSVoc:

http://{server}/{vocabulary}/concept/broader?uri={conceptURI}&_lang=en

SKOSMOS also supports content/lifecycle management, though not through the REST API. Note that SKOSMOS is the successor project to ONKI SKOS Server [46]. At the time that SISSVoc design was initiated (2009) ONKI was based on SOAP Web Service technologies with AJAX web interfaces.

6. Future work

SISSVoc provides a key capability within a suite of services supporting environmental science applications at CSIRO and collaborators. ‘Controlled vocabularies’ are a key building block for interoperability within a technical community. Hence we expect to continue to develop SISSVoc in response to requirements emerging from various projects.

As noted above, the SISSVoc v3 API only covers the subset of the SKOS vocabulary that was required to support some known applications in environmental sciences (Table 6). However, the patterns established in Tables 2-5 would be relatively easy to adapt to the other predicates. The semantic properties, and properties related to scheme and collection membership would be accessed following the patterns for broader/narrower, etc, shown in Tables 4 and 5, while the text properties could be queried following the ‘labelcontains’ pattern shown in Table 3 and 5. However, as noted in section 5.3, the transition from the earlier query-oriented to resource-oriented URI patterns is incomplete and future development will likely focus on the latter.

Another aspect that has received limited attention in the current API is proper multi-lingual support for selection. Again, this should be relatively straightforward to remedy, with an additional argument to a text query to limit selection from strings with a given language tag. There will need to be consideration of the precision for matching language tags, since there are often variations even within a single language (e.g. ja-Hira, ja-Kana, ja-Hani and ja-Latn). As noted in Table 8, language support for output (projection) leverages the capability built into the Linked Data API.

We are currently implementing a number of client applications on top of SISSVoc, including the search application briefly described in section 4.2. A version of this is to be embedded in a larger application to guide selection of keywords or tags for classifying scientific datasets. In support of that we are currently generalizing SISSVoc Search to access multiple sources simultaneously.
### Table 8
Comparison of general purpose SKOS APIs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>API</td>
<td>Java / OWL API</td>
<td>Java</td>
<td>Python</td>
<td>RESTful HTTP-based API available</td>
<td>PHP Implementation / Fuseki / RESTful HTTP-based (GET)</td>
<td>LDA Implementation / RESTful HTTP-based (GET)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decoupled from datastore/SPARQL endpoint</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Partial (localizes copy of SKOS content via file or SPARQL endpoint)</td>
<td>Partial (localizes copy of SKOS content via file or SPARQL endpoint using RDFLib)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External SPARQL support</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Source License</td>
<td>Apache 2.0</td>
<td>Gnu GPL v3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>MIT License</td>
<td>CC-BY (LDA configuration)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKOS Concept API</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes17</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKOS Collection API</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKOS ConceptScheme API</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKOS relationship API</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Partial (exactMatch, close-Match, broader, narrower, related, broadMatch, narrowMatch, relatedMatch)</td>
<td>Partial (broader, narrower, related)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Partial (broader, broaderTransitive, narrower, narrowerTransitive, related)</td>
<td>Partial (broader, broaderTransitive, narrower, narrowerTransitive)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKOS Label API</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Yes18</td>
<td>Partial API for access via label (any label, label contains)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multilingual</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Partial (language neutral query; response language controlled using LDA _lang parameter)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content negotiation</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>SKOS/RDF or XML</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content / lifecycle management</td>
<td>Local only</td>
<td>Local only</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No – independent SPARQL endpoint</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

17 Single hierarchy
18 hiddenLabel support not yet implemented
7. Conclusion

SISSVoc provides a lightweight search and retrieval API for RDF datasets based on SKOS. SISSVoc provides an abstracted view for end users and client applications to access and query SKOS vocabulary resources without necessarily knowing any of the underlying technologies and semantic web languages used. The current design of SISSVoc (v3) is based on the Linked Data API and the deployments described are implemented by configuring a Linked Data API endpoint. Since the triple-store hosting the content is only coupled to the SISSVoc layer through a SPARQL endpoint, the deployment pattern is flexible. For a particular vocabulary it is typical to provide multiple standard interfaces, with each used as the basis of the interface next higher in the stack. This supports a range of application approaches. The Linked Data API provides significant capability out-of-the-box, including content negotiation for both human interfaces and machine readable interfaces.

The SISSVoc URI patterns are generally aligned with REST and Linked Data principles, though some potential improvements have been identified. Of comparable solutions, the three HTTP-based APIs have independently converged on essentially identical URI patterns for query, though their current implementations use different technologies. SISSVoc is a particularly light-weight implementation, as it is achieved purely by configuring existing components coupled through standard HTTP and SPARQL. We have demonstrated that the availability of a simple REST API to SKOS resources provides a basis for useful applications, in search and validation scenarios.

Acknowledgements

Jackie Stewart (nee Githaiga) (CSIRO) did the development work on earlier versions of SISSVoc; Stuart Williams & Chris Dollin (Epimorphics) provided advice and debugging during the initial ELDA implementation. Particular thanks to four reviewers from Semantic Web Journal whose thorough and constructive comments have led us to make many significant improvements in the paper. SISSVoc development was supported by AuScope under the Australian Government’s National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy, and by CSIRO and the Bureau of Meteorology under the Water Information Research and Development Alliance (WIRADA).

References


