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Abstract. Applying deep learning techniques, particularly language models (LMs), in ontology engineering has raised widespread attention. However, deep learning frameworks like PyTorch and Tensorflow are predominantly developed for Python programming, while widely-used ontology APIs, such as the OWL API and Jena, are primarily Java-based. To facilitate seamless integration of these frameworks and APIs, we present DeepOnto, a Python package designed for ontology engineering. The package encompasses a core ontology processing module founded on the widely-recognised and reliable OWL API, encapsulating its fundamental features in a more “Pythonic” manner and extending its capabilities to include other essential components including reasoning, verbalisation, normalisation, projection, and more. Building on this module, DeepOnto offers a suite of tools, resources, and algorithms that support various ontology engineering tasks, such as ontology alignment and completion, by harnessing deep learning methodologies, primarily pre-trained LMs. In this paper, we also demonstrate the practical utility of DeepOnto through two use-cases: the Digital Health Coaching in Samsung Research UK and the Bio-ML track of the Ontology Alignment Evaluation Initiative (OAEI).
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1. Introduction

An ontology is a formal, explicit specification of knowledge within the scope of a domain. It provides a vocabulary of concepts and properties that enables a shared understanding of semantics among humans and machines, with wide applications in many domains such as bioinformatics, information systems, and the Semantic Web. Ontology engineering, a sub-field of knowledge engineering, underpins the various stages of ontology development, encompassing ontology design, construction, curation, evaluation, and maintenance, among others [1]. Concrete tasks of ontology engineering include: \textit{(i)} defining the entities and constructing the logical axioms that make up an ontology, \textit{(ii)} validating and ensuring quality (e.g., completeness and correctness) of an ontology, \textit{(iii)} inserting new knowledge into an ontology, \textit{(iv)} integrating domain ontologies that come from heterogeneous sources, and so on. These tasks can collectively enhance an ontology’s practical utility, making it applicable to different real-world scenarios. Deep learning approaches have gained significant popularity across various research and engineering domains. These techniques have shown notable advantages over conventional ontology engineering tools. For example,
LogMap [2], a long-standing state-of-the-art ontology alignment system, relies on lexical similarity and lacks the ability to capture textual contexts. In contrast, BERTMap [3], a language model (LM)-based system which leverages the attention mechanism of the transformer architecture for contextual text embeddings [4], can be more robust to linguistic variations such as synonyms and polysemies. Another example concerns ontology completion. Traditional systems, leveraging formal logics and/or heuristic rules, are capable of inferring entailed knowledge (e.g., HermiT for ontology reasoning [5]). Nonetheless, the requirement of manual design and curation for these logics and rules frequently results in their under-specification. This constraint significantly curtails their capability to deduce absent but plausible knowledge. As opposed to these rule-based solutions, deep learning-based techniques can automatically learn patterns from the existing knowledge, the meta data and different kinds of other information, and make predictions accordingly [6–8].

However, there lacks a systematic support for deep learning-based ontology engineering models, posing challenges for both developers and users. Deep learning frameworks such as Tensorflow [9] and PyTorch [10] typically provide APIs for Python programming only, but basic ontology processing features, especially those related to OWL (Web Ontology Language) [11], one of the most widely-used ontology languages based on Description Logic, are more effectively supported in Java, as exemplified by OWL API [12] and Jena [13]. The mOWL toolkit [14] resolves this gap by employing JType1 to bridge Python and Java, but it primarily implements ontology embedding models and focuses on applications within the biomedical domain, neglecting various critical and general tasks that are necessary for ontology construction and curation. In order to provide a comprehensive, general, and Python-friendly package for supporting deep learning-based ontology engineering, we develop DeepOnto.

Figure 1 depicts the architecture of DeepOnto. It consists of an ontology processing module as the foundation, which can support basic operations like loading, saving, retrieving entities, querying for ancestors/descendants, and modifying entities and axioms, as well as more advanced functions like reasoning, verbalisation, normalisation, and projection (see Section 3 for their specifications). Built upon this basic module, DeepOnto features a collection of ontology engineering tools and resources, devised for ontology alignment, completion, and ontology-based LM probing. DeepOnto provides a fairly flexible and extensible interface for further implementations. This includes our ongoing efforts, such as logic embeddings [15] and the identification and insertion of new concepts [16], as well as other typical works that can facilitate ontology construction and curation, such as the OWL ontology embedding method OWL2Vec* [8]. The incorporation of these new tools and resources may necessitate the integration of other fundamental features into the core ontology processing module, further enhancing its capabilities and robustness.

To facilitate the import of OWL API, we adopted the solution from the mOWL toolkit [14], which utilises JType.

2https://github.com/pwin/owlready2
3https://rdflib.dev/
DeepOnto adopts PyTorch [10] as the backbone for deep learning dependencies. PyTorch is characterised by its dynamic computation graph, which enables runtime modification of the model’s architecture, providing flexibility and ease of use for users. Currently, ontology engineering modules in DeepOnto mainly target applications of language models (LMs), which are well supported by the Huggingface’s Transformers library [20]. Building upon this, the OpenPrompt library [21] supports the prompt learning paradigm, which is one of the key foundations of recent cutting-edge large language models, such as ChatGPT [22].

2.2. Architecture

The architecture of DeepOnto is straightforward and succinct. As shown in Figure 1, the basis of DeepOnto is the core ontology processing module, which comprises a collection of essential sub-modules that revolve around the main class $^4$Ontology. The ontology class serves as the main entry point for introducing the OWL API’s features, such as accessing ontology entities, querying for ancestor/descendent (and parent/child) concepts, deleting entities, modifying axioms, and retrieving annotations. These functions are encapsulated in a more cohesive and user-friendly manner. Along with these basic functionalities, we introduce several essential sub-modules to enhance the core module, such as reasoning, pruning, verbalisation, normalisation, projection, and more. One shared objective of all these components is to transform an ontology into various data forms, such as verbalising an ontology entity with natural language text and projecting an ontology into an RDF graph, thereby facilitating deep learning-based ontology engineering solutions.

$^4$In this work, the term “class” refers to a blueprint for creating objects – encapsulating attributes and methods in Python programming.
The core ontology processing module paves the way for implementing individual tools and resources to support ontology construction and curation. At present, DeepOnto mainly incorporates systems based on pre-trained LMs, and has covered the tasks of ontology alignment, ontology completion with subsumptions, and ontology-based LM probing. In the near future, DeepOnto is expected to incorporate new tools for ontology embeddings, concept insertion, and more. Notably, the DeepOnto system can be easily updated and extended with new tools to support other knowledge engineering scenarios, such as entity linking, entity alignment, and link prediction for knowledge graphs mainly composed of relational facts.

3. Ontology Processing

In this section, we present a brief description for each component in the core ontology processing module.

**Ontology** The base class of DeepOnto is named as `Ontology`, which offers basic operations for accessing or modifying an ontology. An instance of `Ontology` can be initialised by taking an ontology file as input. Users can then access named entities (concepts and properties) through their IRIs, obtain asserted parents and children of an entity, retrieve and modify axioms, and so on. When implemented in Java using OWL API, even simple features like these may require several lines of code. DeepOnto’s encapsulation in Python improves code cleanliness and readability.

**Ontology Reasoning** Every instance of `Ontology` is accompanied by an instance of `OntologyReasoner` as its attribute. It is used for conducting reasoning activities, including obtaining inferred subsumers and subsumees, as well as checking entailment and consistency. By encapsulating these basic reasoning functions, we can implement more complex or specific reasoning algorithms. For example, we have implemented the assumed disjointness proposed by [24], which can be particularly useful in the negative sampling process frequently employed in various machine learning-driven ontology curation tasks, including but not limited to, subsumption prediction. We currently adopt HermiT [5] as the reasoner, but it can be easily extended to incorporate other reasoners\(^5\) available in OWL API.

---

\(^5\)https://owlapi.sourceforge.net/reasoners.html
**Ontology Pruning**  Real-world ontologies frequently exhibit a large-scale nature, leading to diminished efficiency during system evaluation. To extract a scalable subset from an ontology, we often prune the ontology by removing its concepts based on certain criteria, e.g., semantic types. In order to maintain the hierarchical structure during the pruning process, we implement the pruning algorithm proposed in [23] (found in \texttt{OntologyPruner}), which introduces subsumption axioms between the asserted (atomic or complex) parents and children of the concept targeted for removal (see Figure 2; left). For future development, we aim to incorporate more pruning approaches. A key addition will be ontology modularisation, a technique that seeks to extract a (small) sub-ontology that entails a given axiom or is sufficient to answer a specific concept of queries [25]. We also aim to explore its various variants that involve approximation, catering to different scenarios such as the construction of personalised knowledge graphs.

**Ontology Verbalisation**  Verbalising an entity into natural language text with close meaning as its counterpart OWL statements can improve an ontology’s accessibility and support many ontology engineering tasks. For example, ontology alignment systems often rely on string similarity or other text-level features to achieve successful results. While a named entity can be easily verbalised using its name (or labels), complex expressions that involve logical operators need a more sophisticated algorithm. In \texttt{DeepOnto}, we implement a recursive concept verbaliser (found in \texttt{OntologyVerbaliser}) proposed in [24], which can automatically transform a complex logical expression into a textual sentence based on entity names or labels available in the ontology. An example is shown in the right of Figure 2, where the complex concept expression `FoodProduct ⊓ ∃derivesFrom (InvertebrateAnimal ⊓ VertebrateAnimal)` is parsed into a syntax tree of sub-formulas (this intermediate function is encapsulated in \texttt{OntologySyntaxParser}). The leaf nodes are named concepts or properties and they are verbalised directly. The recursion occurs when merging verbalised child nodes according to the logical pattern in their parent node, and terminates when the sentence is complete. In this example, the final output is “food product that derives from invertebrate animal or vertebrate animal”.

**Ontology Normalisation**  Normalisation refers to the transformation of axioms into one of the following normal forms: \( C \subseteq D, C \cap C' \subseteq D, C \subseteq \exists r.D, \exists r.C \subseteq D, r \subseteq s, \) and \( r \circ r' \subseteq s, \) with no loss of semantics [26]. Here, \( C \) and \( C' \) can be named concepts or \( \top, \top \) can be a named concept or \( \bot; \) and \( r, r', \) and \( s \) represent roles. The normalisation algorithm has been implemented in several \( EL \) embedding models [15, 27] and this feature is also implemented in \texttt{DeepOnto} for easy access. Normalisation can facilitate the training deep learning-based ontology engineering models because it simplifies the set of axiom patterns. For example, a complex subsumption \( C \subseteq D \cap \exists r.E \) can be normalised into \( C \subseteq D \) and \( C \subseteq \exists r.E \) such that a model trained on normalised axioms can make independent predictions for each axiom and then combine the results for a joint prediction.

**Ontology Projection**  \texttt{DeepOnto} offers the capability to transform an OWL ontology into a set of RDF triples. A default method for this transformation, which adheres to the W3C standard\(^6\), is provided by OWL API. While this method preserves the ontology’s semantics, it may introduce blank nodes to represent complex logical expressions. This standard approach is effective for storing or exchanging an ontology, but its utility for ontology visualisation or applying graph-based algorithms, such as Random Walk and Graph Neural Networks, is limited. In such situations, a simplified graph representation is often needed, an approach sometimes termed as ontology projection. A straightforward approach to ontology projection involves extracting the taxonomy of named concepts based on their asserted subsumption relationships. This can be accomplished by iteratively querying for a concept’s parent and child concepts, thereby generating a hierarchical representation of the ontology’s structure. \texttt{DeepOnto} has implemented a more sophisticated algorithm (found in \texttt{OntologyProjector}), originally used in the ontology visualisation system OptiqueVQS [28], to transform axioms into a set of simplified RDF triples. Briefly, a concept subsumption axiom \( C \subseteq D \) is transformed into \( \langle C, rdf:s:subClassOf, D \rangle \), an individual membership axiom \( D(d) \) is transformed into \( \langle d, rdf:type, D \rangle \), a role assertion axiom \( r(a, b) \) is transformed into \( \langle a, r, b \rangle \), a restriction axiom in the form of \( C \subseteq \exists r.D \) or \( C \subseteq \forall r.D \) is transformed into \( \langle C, r, D \rangle \). Here, \( C \) and \( D \) are concepts; \( a, b, \) and \( d \) denote individuals.

\(^6\)https://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-mapping-to-rdf/
4. Tools and Resources

DeepOnto has implemented several tools and resources for various ontology engineering purposes. For ontology matching (OM), DeepOnto has BERTMap [3] for concept equivalence matching, BERTSubs (Inter) [7] for concept subsumption matching, and Bio-ML [23], a collection of biomedical datasets and evaluation protocols to support OM system benchmarking. For ontology completion, DeepOnto has BERTSubs (Intra), and the prompt-based approach proposed in OntoLAMA [24]. The work of OntoLAMA also involves a collection of subsumption inference datasets for language model probing. In the following sub-sections, we present each of these modules in more detail.

4.1. BERTMap

Ontology matching (OM) is the task of identifying mappings that represent a semantic relationship between entities of two different ontologies. BERTMap targets on equivalence matching between named concepts. It adopts Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT) [29], a masked language model pre-trained on extensive text corpora such as English Wikipedia, in the computation of a mapping score. Specifically, BERTMap utilises concept labels available in the input ontologies to extract pairs of synonyms and non-synonyms, and then fine-tunes a BERT model for synonym classification. The mappings score is determined by the aggregation of synonym scores between labels of two named concepts. To reduce the time complexity of mapping search, BERTMap adopts a sub-word inverted index for candidate selection. This approach takes advantage of the sub-word tokenisation capability inherent in the BERT model. To enrich the mapping set and capture potential missed matches during candidate selection, BERTMap incorporates an iterative mapping extension algorithm based on the locality principle, i.e., if two concepts are matched, their parents or children are likely to be matched. Lastly, BERTMap utilises the mapping repair module proposed in [2] to remove a minimal set of inconsistent mappings.

BERTMap provides flexible configurations to accommodate various needs. For instance, users can easily switch between different masked language models, such as RoBERTa [30] and ALBERT [31], or opt for BERT variants pre-trained on specialised corpora, such as BioBERT [32] and ClinicalBERT [33], by simply altering the input name of the language model. Moreover, BERTMap supports both unsupervised and semi-supervised settings; the former relies solely on the input ontologies, while the latter can leverage a small number of provided mappings for enhanced performance. Data augmentation from external ontologies is also possible and would be very helpful when the input ontologies are short of concept labels. Last but not the least, a light-weight version of BERTMap called BERTMapLt. This version does not necessitate the BERT fine-tuning nor the mapping refinement, rendering it considerably more efficient. Despite its simplified operations, BERTMapLt can attain promising results on certain datasets.

4.2. BERTSubs

BERTSubs [7] aims at predicting (i) the missing concept subsumptions within an OWL ontology for completion, and (ii) the subsumptions between concepts from two OWL ontologies for alignment. In our latest implementation in DeepOnto (since v0.7.0), the super-concepts in both situations can be either named concepts or complex concepts such as existential restrictions. Following the architecture of BERTMap, BERTSubs also fine-tunes a pre-trained BERT (or one of its variants) together with an attached binary classifier which outputs a score for an input candidate subsumption. It extracts the existing subsumptions within the given ontology or ontologies for constructing positive samples, and replaces the super-concepts of these subsumptions by a randomly selected named concept (or complex concept if the original super-concept is complex) for constructing negative samples.

Each concept in a subsumption is transformed into a text sentence, and the subsumption is transformed into a sentence pair as the model input. For a complex concept, we directly call the verbalisation function implemented in the ontology processing module of DeepOnto (see Section 3). For a named concept, we have implemented three approaches to generate its (context-aware) text sentence as the model input:

7BERTMap tutorial: https://krr-oxford.github.io/DeepOnto/bertmap/
8BERTSubs tutorial: https://krr-oxford.github.io/DeepOnto/bertsubs/
Ontology Pair | Category | #Concepts (≡) | #Refs (≡) | #Concepts (⊑) | #Refs (⊑)
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Mondo | OMIM-ORDO | Disease | 9,642-8,838 | 3,721 | 9,642-8,735 | 103
| NCIT-DOID | Disease | 6,835-8,848 | 4,684 | 6,835-5,113 | 3,339
UMLS | SNOMED-FMA | Body | 24,182-64,726 | 7,256 | 24,182-59,567 | 5,506
| SNOMED-NCIT | Pharm | 16,045-15,250 | 5,803 | 16,045-12,462 | 4,225
| SNOMED-NCIT | Neoplas | 11,271-13,956 | 3,804 | 11,271-13,790 | 213

Table 1

Data statistics for ontology pairs in Bio-ML, including the data sources, ontology names, categories (semantic types) of preserved concepts during ontology pruning, numbers of named concepts and reference mappings in the equivalence (≡) and the subsumption (⊑) settings.

– Isolated Class (IC) which directly uses the given concept’s name according to a pre-defined annotation property;
– Path Context (PC) which extracts a subsumption path from the ontology’s concept hierarchy, starting from the given super-concept up to the root (or starting from the given sub-concept down to a leaf), and concatenates the names of the concepts in the path, separated by some special token;
– Breadth-first Context (BC) which traverses a set of neighbouring subsumptions of the given concept from the ontology’s concept hierarchy, starting from the given super-concept up to the root (or starting from the given sub-concept down to a leaf) via breadth-first search, and concatenates the names of the concepts of these subsumptions in a specific way, separated by a special token.

4.3. Bio-ML

Benchmarking has been a critical challenge that limits OM academic research and system development. Thus, we added Bio-ML into DeepOnto. It currently includes five OM datasets derived from biomedical ontologies, accompanied by a comprehensive OM evaluation framework. The involved biomedical ontologies include SNOMED-CT [34], FMA [35], NCIT [36], OMIM [37], ORDO [38], and DOID [39], based on the human-curated thesaurus and alignment in the integrated ontologies, UMLS [40] and Mondo [41]. It aims to address several limitations of the existing OM datasets and evaluation settings, including the following:

– **Sub-optimal ground truth mappings**: Many OM datasets have incomplete ground truth mappings, and conventional evaluation metrics Precision, Recall, and F-score become biased towards high-precision and low-recall systems, as they are more likely to match the available ground truth mappings.

– **Limited to equivalence matching**: The majority of existing OM datasets focus solely on matching equivalent concepts.

– **Lack of support for machine learning-based systems**: Current OM datasets do not accommodate data splitting (e.g., training, validation, and testing) and the conventional evaluation metrics, which are based on the final output mappings, are inefficient for developing and debugging machine learning-based OM models.

To address these limitations, Bio-ML incorporates the following strategies:

– **Human-curated mappings**: Bio-ML utilises ground truth mappings from UMLS and Mondo, which have been curated and validated by experts.

– **Expanded evaluation metrics**: In addition to conventional metrics, Bio-ML proposes the use of ranking metrics such as Hits@K and MRR to provide a more comprehensive assessment of OM systems and support more efficient evaluation of machine learning-based OM systems.

– **Subsumption matching**: Bio-ML extends the scope of matching beyond equivalence, including subsumption relationships; the subsumption mappings are generated from the ground truth equivalence mappings.

– **Data split settings**: Bio-ML formulates different data split configurations (unsupervised and semi-supervised) to better support the development and evaluation of machine learning-based OM systems.

Furthermore, given that biomedical ontologies are often large-scale and some OM systems cannot deal with such ontologies or will cost a very long time for computation, Bio-ML employs a pruning algorithm (see Ontology
Pruning in Section 3) subject to semantic types, which allows for the extraction of scalable sub-ontologies. For subsumption matching, target concepts that appear in a ground truth equivalence mapping used to construct a ground truth subsumption mapping is purposely deleted in order to enforce direct subsumption matching. The resulting datasets can be downloaded through Zenodo\(^9\), the detailed instructions and data statistics (also shown in Table 1) are provided in DeepOnto\(^10\). Moreover, Bio-ML has been introduced as a new track\(^11\) of the Ontology Alignment Evaluation Initiative (OAEI 2022), and the corresponding result report is available [42]. We will discuss more about its usage in the OAEI in Section 5.2.

4.4. OntoLAMA

The investigation of a language model’s comprehension of knowledge and reasoning capability is a widely discussed topic, commonly referred to as “LMs-as-KBs” [43]. While most existing works on ‘LMs-as-KBs’ focus on knowledge graphs composed of relational facts (sometimes known as RDF triple knowledge graphs), OntoLAMA explores OWL ontologies which represent conceptual knowledge with logical reasoning supported. OntoLAMA is essentially a set of subsumption inference (SI) datasets concerning both atomic and complex concept expressions. These datasets are extracted from ontologies of various domains and scales. The probing method proposed in OntoLAMA is based on prompt learning, which is a paradigm used to effectively extract knowledge from language models through prompts. In this method, concept expressions are first transformed into natural language text using the recursive ontology verbaliser (see Ontology Verbalisation in Section 3). Then, the verbalised phrases are wrapped into a template along with the prompt text. The language model’s task is to classify if two concept expressions have a subsumption relationship given this prompt-enhanced text format. Using this approach, a significant and consistent improvement in performance has been observed even with a small number of training and development samples (in a few-shot setting). This outcome highlights the potential of LM-based ontology engineering works in the future, without the need for excessive training resources.

To summarise, OntoLAMA contributes the following to DeepOnto: (i) a set of LM probing datasets\(^12\) extracted from ontology subsumptions, (ii) a verbalisation algorithm that can handle complex concept expressions, (iii) a method to use LMs with prompts to predict subsumptions without fully supervised fine-tuning.

5. Impact and Use

DeepOnto has been gaining attention from both the industry and academia. In this section, we present how it was adopted in Digital Health Coaching in Samsung Research UK\(^13\) and in the Bio-ML track of the Ontology Alignment Evaluation Initiative (OAEI).

5.1. Digital Health Coaching

Digital Health Coaching is commonly required in health management. A paramount priority is centred on the delivery of context-sensitive, personalised, and explainable health recommendations, aiming to aid end-users in understanding and ameliorating their health conditions. Consider, for instance, individuals afflicted with Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease who are utilising Amitriptyline to alleviate back pain. The responsibility lies with the Digital Health Coach to understand that the use of Amitriptyline requires the simultaneous administration of another medication to safeguard the stomach, such as Antacids or Duloxetine. Therefore, it should deliver a recommendation that includes an explanation, such as “Given that Amitriptyline can exacerbate reflux issues, it is recommended to discuss your stomach condition with your doctor as preventative measures might be necessary.”

---

\(^9\)Bio-ML dataset download: https://zenodo.org/record/6946466
\(^11\)Bio-ML Track of the OAEI: https://www.cs.ox.ac.uk/isg/projects/ConCur/oaei/
\(^12\)OntoLAMA dataset download is available at Zenodo: https://zenodo.org/record/7700458, and Huggingface: https://huggingface.co/datasets/krr-oxford/OntoLAMA.
\(^13\)https://research.samsung.com/sruk
In health scenarios where explainability and in-depth reasoning are prioritised, fully automatic Deep Learning algorithms prove inappropriate due to inherent constraints. These include a dependency on vast datasets for optimal performance, an inability to reason abstractly, and a nature that is largely intractable to human comprehension. A rising trend to alleviate these issues involves integrating a symbolic knowledge base (e.g., OWL ontology) that facilitates rigorous and complex reasoning into the process. One of the tasks involves automatically identifying entities from semi-structured and/or unstructured data, and subsequently mapping them to the knowledge base. The BERTMap model, as discussed in Section 4.1, is utilised and evaluated in aligning health and/or medical concepts extracted from the NHS conditions, to concepts in the DOID ontology.

The NHS conditions (at the time of investigation) consist of 984 concepts that cover health conditions, symptoms, medical treatments/tools, possible causes, and related daily-life situations. Each concept is associated with a web-page of detailed information. As the NHS conditions are essentially a collection of web-pages, we conducted simple transformation over them into a “flat” ontology, i.e., each term is modeled as an OWL ontology concept but there is no subsumption relationship among them. The concept names and aliases were manually collected from the web-pages to serve as concept labels. The DOID ontology has 10,924 concepts for the sake of providing consistency, reusability, and sustainability of descriptions of human disease terms. As the NHS conditions are not all about diseases, some of the concepts are considered as “out-of-scope” (i.e., non-diseases). We then considered task settings with and without the out-of-scope concepts. Since there are no ground truth mappings available, the alignment results were evaluated by human curators (see Table 2). From the results we can observe that BERTMap attains a promising F-score and a consistently better performance than the Sub-string Match baseline model, which considers two concepts C and D as matched if a label of C is a sub-string of a label of D, or vice versa. \( \lambda \) denotes the threshold for filtering BERTMap’s output mappings, i.e., only mappings with scores \( \geq \lambda \) will be preserved. Sliding \( \lambda \) is essentially a trade-off of Precision and Recall. BERTMap achieves an F-score of 0.868 if considering out-of-scope concepts and an F-score of 0.874 if not. This slight difference indicates that BERTMap wrongly matches some of the non-disease concepts to the disease concepts. For example, the drug concept Aspirin is wrongly mapped to the disease concept Aspirin-induced Respiratory Disease.

### 5.2. Ontology Alignment Evaluation Initiative

The Ontology Alignment Evaluation Initiative (OAEI) is an internationally coordinated initiative aiming at performing systematic assessments of ontology alignment techniques, also known as ontology matching (OM). As part of the OAEI 2022, we have introduced a new track, Bio-ML, replacing the previous LargeBio track. As detailed in Section 4.3, the objective of Bio-ML is to furnish datasets and a comprehensive framework for the evaluation of both traditional and machine learning-based OM systems.

The OAEI 2022 version of Bio-ML comprises two ontology pairs derived from Mondo and three pairs from UMLS (see data statistics in Table 1). Every pair is linked with two types of matching: equivalence and subsumption. The equivalence matching incorporates both global matching (evaluated using traditional metrics like

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F-score</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F-score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BERTMap( \lambda = 0.995 )</td>
<td>0.805</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>0.859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BERTMap( \lambda = 0.999 )</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>0.874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-string Match</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>0.649</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Human evaluation results for matching medical concepts from NHS conditions to DOID concepts. Since DOID is mainly about diseases, non-disease concepts are considered as out-of-scope.

---

\( ^{14} \) A list of medical concepts maintained by the National Health Service in the UK is available at: https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/.

\( ^{15} \) DOID version IRI: http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/doid/releases/2022-02-21/doid.owl
Precision, Recall, and F-score) and local ranking (assessed using ranking metrics such as MRR and Hits@K). The subsumption matching, due to its inherent incompleteness of ground truth mappings, only has the local ranking setting. Moreover, for each matching setup, there are two settings for data split: unsupervised and semi-supervised. The unsupervised setting does not provide any training mapping, while the semi-supervised setup provides a small partition designated for training and development. Note that DeepOnto contributes to the construction of Bio-ML, the implementation of BERTMap and BERTMapLt systems, and the evaluation workaround.

The results for OMIM-ORDO equivalence matching and SNOMED-NCIT (Neoplas) subsumption matching are illustrated in Table 3 and Table 4, respectively. Full results can be accessed on the Bio-ML (OAEI 2022) webpage.

6. Conclusion and Future Work

In this system paper, we introduce DeepOnto, a Python library designed to facilitate ontology engineering with deep learning. The package provides a broad spectrum of ontology engineering capabilities, enabling users to (semi-)automatically and efficiently deal with ontologies and develop novel systems. The library relies on Python programming in synergy with deep learning methodologies, with a particular emphasis on pre-trained language models. For ease of use, DeepOnto has encapsulated basic ontology processing functions from OWL API, and implemented several essential components such as reasoning, verbalisation, pruning and projection. Based on these features, DeepOnto has integrated a range of tools and resources for different ontology engineering tasks such as ontology alignment and completion, with comprehensive tutorials and detailed instructions provided. Furthermore, we show

16Bio-ML Track (OAEI 2022) Results: https://www.cs.ox.ac.uk/isg/projects/ConCur/oaei/2022/index.html#results
17See the “TUTORIALS” section at https://krr-oxford.github.io/DeepOnto/.
evidence of DeepOnto’s successful applications in both industry and academia, with promising results reported in different application contexts.

For future development, we aim to incorporate more automated ontology engineering tasks. These include, but are not limited to, embedding concepts and properties with both formal semantics and literals considered, placing new concepts derived from text mentions into an ontology, generating descriptions for concepts based on their contexts in an ontology, and identifying, generating, and placing appropriate common parents for concepts clustered under certain criteria. We also plan to improve on our existing tool set with more advanced algorithms and the latest large language models, such as the GPT series [22], LLaMA [44], Palm 2 [45], and Flan-T5 [46]. These improvements will allow DeepOnto to continue facilitating innovative ontology engineering solutions.
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